

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,452	06/25/2001	Prabhakar Gopalan	AUS920010328US1	8688
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Rudolf O. Siegesmund Suite 2000 4627 N. Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75205-4022			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	1
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/888,452	GOPALAN, PRABHAKAR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Y Jung	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers						
· ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign [a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,452

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-26 are presented.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

The arguments in the request for reconsideration of 1/27/2004 state that the cited references do not teach the claimed invention.

The arguments can be summarized as: the three separate numbers of the claimed invention were not taught by the reference (Card). Yet, in the section "How to write a Java Card applet", this was clearly noted. For example, the read_balance, deposit, and debit commands operate with the assumption that PIN is operational. The PIN is not mere "personal identification number." See, for example, the code line using PIN:

byte byteRead=(byte)
(adpu.setIncomingAndReceive());

Clearly, PIN class is a building block for Java Card program. A class may be used multiple times to produce objects. Thus, that there is one PIN class does not mean there is only one PIN object. Furthermore, in the section "The Java Card 2.0 framework", the EMV standard is explicitly mentioned. Thus, the three numbers of the claims are suggested (by the EMV standard).

Thus, the claimed invention was taught by the cited reference.

Art Unit: 2134

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable (as noted in the Office Action dated 12/3/2003).

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background. They were discussed in the previous office Actions.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Points of Contact

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application/Control Number: 09/888,452

Art Unit: 2134

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (703) 308-5262 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (703) 308-4789.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

2004-04-03